

Overview

Overall Results -
Lakehead

Question Means -
Lakehead

Response Detail -
Q1-12 - Lakehead

Response Detail -
Q13-23 - Lakehead



Lakehead
UNIVERSITY

OVERVIEW

Data Source:

- Student Feedback on Teaching surveys collected in the 2022-23 academic year.

About:

- Overall Results - bar graphs depicting results for each of the 23 questions, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall. Response options are aggregated to a 3-point scale for presentation.
- Question Means - displays the mean score for each of the 23 questions based on assigning numerical values to the question response options, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall. Null and "not applicable" responses are excluded from calculations.
- Response Detail - crosstabs providing the N values and percentage of all response options. Questions are split into two groups for presentation, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall.

Criteria:

- Any course section which has at least 5 students enrolled is surveyed. This includes all student types (domestic, international, part-time, full-time) and course levels (graduate, undergraduate).
- Cross-listed and cross-taught course sections may be combined for analysis.
- Practicum, lab, placeholder, and thesis courses are excluded.

Limitations:

- Course characteristics, including department and delivery method, are assigned based on course code.


Notes:

- The "Other" option in the Campus filter includes courses delivered at partner institutions, such as Seven Generation institutes or the Joint PhD in Educational Studies partners.

LAKEHEAD UNIVERSITY: OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL PLANNING & ANALYSIS
CONFIDENTIAL: NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION
LAST UPDATED: APRIL 2023

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2022-23

Overall Results - Lakehead



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2022-23

Question Mean Scores - Lakehead Overall

Question	Question Text	
Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	4.55
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	4.36
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	4.43
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	4.23
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	4.29
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	4.41
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	4.62
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	4.17
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	3.96
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	4.00
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	4.40
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	4.34
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	4.40
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	4.15
Q15	I found the course stimulating	3.99
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	4.30
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	4.19
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	4.09
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	4.25
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	4.12
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	4.04
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	4.15
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	4.11

Means are calculated based on:

- 5 = Strongly Agree
- 4 = Agree
- 3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 2 = Disagree
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

with Null and Not Applicable answers excluded.

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2022-23

Response Detail - Lakehead Overall

Questions 1-12

Question	Question Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	71.36% (N=10829)	18.94% (N=2874)	4.84% (N=734)	2.37% (N=359)	2.26% (N=343)	0.24% (N=36)
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	62.87% (N=9522)	20.92% (N=3169)	8.10% (N=1226)	4.39% (N=665)	3.51% (N=531)	0.21% (N=32)
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	65.54% (N=9930)	20.59% (N=3120)	7.12% (N=1079)	3.37% (N=511)	2.98% (N=452)	0.40% (N=60)
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	58.81% (N=8903)	20.68% (N=3130)	9.43% (N=1428)	5.45% (N=825)	5.28% (N=800)	0.35% (N=53)
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	61.87% (N=9383)	17.32% (N=2627)	9.47% (N=1436)	4.64% (N=703)	5.00% (N=758)	1.71% (N=259)
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	68.32% (N=10333)	15.45% (N=2336)	6.63% (N=1003)	3.69% (N=558)	4.48% (N=678)	1.43% (N=216)
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	73.89% (N=11183)	12.87% (N=1948)	5.21% (N=789)	1.39% (N=210)	2.14% (N=324)	4.50% (N=681)
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	57.97% (N=8739)	18.91% (N=2851)	9.67% (N=1457)	6.61% (N=996)	6.21% (N=936)	0.64% (N=96)
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	51.65% (N=7738)	18.89% (N=2830)	11.40% (N=1708)	8.04% (N=1204)	9.54% (N=1430)	0.49% (N=73)
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	51.37% (N=7693)	18.29% (N=2740)	12.16% (N=1821)	7.52% (N=1127)	8.16% (N=1222)	2.50% (N=374)
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	66.99% (N=10040)	16.87% (N=2529)	6.71% (N=1006)	3.66% (N=548)	4.52% (N=677)	1.25% (N=188)
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	60.50% (N=9041)	18.73% (N=2799)	9.42% (N=1407)	3.34% (N=499)	3.88% (N=580)	4.13% (N=617)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2022-23

Response Detail - Lakehead Overall

Questions 13-23

Question	Question Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	65.19% (N=9610)	17.92% (N=2642)	6.74% (N=994)	3.69% (N=544)	4.02% (N=593)	2.44% (N=359)
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	54.87% (N=8071)	21.84% (N=3213)	10.97% (N=1614)	6.15% (N=905)	5.62% (N=826)	0.54% (N=80)
Q15	I found the course stimulating	51.40% (N=7545)	20.12% (N=2953)	11.89% (N=1745)	7.34% (N=1077)	8.67% (N=1273)	0.59% (N=87)
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	61.49% (N=9002)	20.83% (N=3050)	8.29% (N=1213)	4.41% (N=645)	4.80% (N=702)	0.19% (N=28)
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	55.72% (N=8163)	22.35% (N=3275)	11.40% (N=1670)	5.11% (N=749)	4.95% (N=725)	0.47% (N=69)
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	53.04% (N=7741)	20.49% (N=2991)	12.42% (N=1812)	6.37% (N=930)	6.36% (N=928)	1.32% (N=193)
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	58.33% (N=8502)	21.92% (N=3195)	9.12% (N=1329)	4.54% (N=661)	5.05% (N=736)	1.04% (N=152)
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	53.92% (N=7840)	21.23% (N=3086)	10.83% (N=1575)	5.72% (N=832)	6.49% (N=943)	1.81% (N=263)
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	51.43% (N=7456)	19.23% (N=2788)	11.92% (N=1728)	6.40% (N=928)	7.77% (N=1127)	3.24% (N=470)
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	61.35% (N=8856)	15.19% (N=2192)	8.45% (N=1220)	5.56% (N=802)	9.07% (N=1309)	0.39% (N=56)
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	56.78% (N=8141)	18.36% (N=2632)	10.62% (N=1523)	5.66% (N=812)	7.97% (N=1143)	0.60% (N=86)