Lakehead UNIVERSITY

OVERVIEW

Data Source:
   • Student Feedback on Teaching surveys collected in the 2021-22 academic year.

About:
   • Overall Results - bar graphs depicting results for each of the 23 questions, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall. Response options are aggregated to a 3-point scale for presentation.
   • Question Means - displays the mean score for each of the 23 questions based on assigning numerical values to the question response options, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall. Null
      and "not applicable" responses are excluded from calculations.
   • Response Detail - crosstabs providing the N values and percentage of all response options. Questions are split into two groups for presentation, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall.

Criteria:
   • Any course section which has at least 5 students enrolled is surveyed. This includes all student types (domestic, international, part-time, full-time) and course levels (graduate, undergraduate).
   • Cross-listed and cross-taught course sections may be combined for analysis.
   • Practicum, lab, placeholder, and thesis courses are excluded.

Limitations:
   • Course characteristics, including department and delivery method, are assigned based on course code.

Notes:
   • The "Other" option in the Campus filter includes courses delivered at partner institutions, such as Seven Generation institutes or the Joint PhD in Educational Studies partners.

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2021-22
Overall Results - Lakehead

		Agree & Strongly Agree	Neutral	Disagree & Strongly Disagree
Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	92%	5%	3%
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	85%	8%	7%
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	88%	7%	5%
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	81%	10%	9%
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	81%	10%	9%
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	86%	7%	7%
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	92%	6%	3%
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	79%	10%	11%
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	72%	13%	16%
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	72%	14%	14%
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	85%	8%	7%
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	84%	10%	6%
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	87%	7%	6%
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	78%	11%	10%
Q15	I found the course stimulating	73%	13%	14%
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	85%	8%	7%
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	81%	11%	8%
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	77%	13%	10%
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	82%	9%	8%
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	78%	11%	12%
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	74%	13%	13%
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	79%	9%	12%
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	78%	11%	12%

Legend: ■ Agree & Strongly Agree ■ Neutral ■ Disagree & Strongly Disagree

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2021-22
Question Mean Scores - Lakehead Overall

Question	Question Text	
Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	4.58
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	4.38
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	4.46
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	4.26
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	4.29
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	4.45
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	4.64
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	4.19
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	3.98
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	4.02
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	4.40
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	4.36
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	4.44
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	4.17
Q15	I found the course stimulating	4.02
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	4.35
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	4.25
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	4.14
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	4.28
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	4.14
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	4.05
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	4.20
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	4.17

Means are calculated based on:

5 = Strongly Agree
4 = Agree
3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 = Disagree
1 = Strongly Disagree

with Null and Not Applicable answers excluded.

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2021-22
Response Detail - Lakehead Overall
Questions 1-12

Question	Question Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	70.43% (N=12517)	20.81% (N=3699)	4.91% (N=873)	1.97% (N=350)	1.42% (N=252)	0.46% (N=81)
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	61.79% (N=10966)	23.21% (N=4119)	8.20% (N=1455)	4.07% (N=723)	2.42% (N=430)	0.31% (N=55)
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	64.97% (N=11536)	22.80% (N=4048)	6.89% (N=1224)	2.75% (N=488)	2.11% (N=375)	0.48% (N=86)
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	57.85% (N=10261)	23.15% (N=4106)	9.47% (N=1679)	5.09% (N=903)	4.01% (N=711)	0.43% (N=76)
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	59.79% (N=10628)	19.22% (N=3416)	9.71% (N=1727)	4.79% (N=852)	4.04% (N=718)	2.45% (N=436)
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	67.65% (N=12004)	17.30% (N=3070)	6.59% (N=1169)	3.38% (N=599)	3.39% (N=602)	1.69% (N=300)
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	71.71% (N=12726)	14.32% (N=2541)	5.16% (N=916)	1.18% (N=210)	1.45% (N=257)	6.18% (N=1097)
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	55.88% (N=9887)	22.32% (N=3948)	10.02% (N=1773)	5.96% (N=1054)	5.03% (N=890)	0.79% (N=140)
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	49.66% (N=8785)	21.30% (N=3769)	12.60% (N=2229)	7.75% (N=1371)	7.87% (N=1392)	0.83% (N=146)
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	49.77% (N=8804)	20.10% (N=3555)	13.10% (N=2317)	7.18% (N=1270)	6.79% (N=1201)	3.07% (N=543)
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	64.68% (N=11449)	19.26% (N=3409)	7.67% (N=1358)	3.27% (N=578)	3.66% (N=647)	1.46% (N=259)
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	59.12% (N=10451)	20.64% (N=3649)	9.33% (N=1649)	3.26% (N=576)	2.93% (N=518)	4.73% (N=836)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2021-22
Response Detail - Lakehead Overall
Questions 13-23

Question	Question Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	64.43% (N=11267)	19.27% (N=3370)	6.95% (N=1216)	2.96% (N=518)	3.09% (N=541)	3.29% (N=575)
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	52.48% (N=9172)	25.48% (N=4453)	11.39% (N=1990)	5.45% (N=952)	4.54% (N=794)	0.67% (N=117)
Q15	I found the course stimulating	49.47% (N=8650)	23.02% (N=4025)	12.86% (N=2248)	7.06% (N=1235)	6.97% (N=1218)	0.63% (N=111)
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	61.09% (N=10659)	23.50% (N=4100)	7.72% (N=1347)	3.78% (N=660)	3.56% (N=621)	0.36% (N=62)
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	55.28% (N=9662)	25.09% (N=4385)	11.22% (N=1961)	4.37% (N=764)	3.38% (N=590)	0.66% (N=116)
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	51.33% (N=8950)	24.20% (N=4219)	12.70% (N=2214)	5.36% (N=934)	4.72% (N=823)	1.70% (N=297)
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	56.72% (N=9898)	24.55% (N=4284)	9.20% (N=1606)	4.24% (N=740)	3.94% (N=687)	1.35% (N=235)
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	52.41% (N=9146)	23.44% (N=4091)	10.72% (N=1871)	5.95% (N=1039)	5.32% (N=929)	2.15% (N=376)
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	49.88% (N=8695)	21.28% (N=3710)	12.19% (N=2125)	6.38% (N=1113)	6.58% (N=1147)	3.68% (N=642)
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	60.67% (N=10580)	17.69% (N=3084)	8.87% (N=1546)	5.20% (N=907)	7.07% (N=1233)	0.50% (N=88)
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	56.39% (N=9793)	20.61% (N=3580)	10.80% (N=1875)	5.31% (N=923)	6.17% (N=1072)	0.72% (N=125)