

Overview	Overall Results	Question Means	Response Detail, Qs 1-12	Response Detail, Qs 13-23
----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------



Lakehead
UNIVERSITY

OVERVIEW

Data Source:

- Student Feedback on Teaching surveys collected in the 2025-26 academic year.

About:

- Overall Results - bar graphs depicting results for each of the 23 questions, for Lakehead overall. Response options are aggregated to a 3-point scale for presentation.
- Question Means - displays the mean score for each of the 23 questions based on assigning numerical values to the question response options, for Lakehead overall. Null and "not applicable" responses are excluded from calculations.
- Response Detail - crosstabs providing the N values and percentage of all response options. Questions are split into two groups for presentation, for Lakehead overall.

Criteria:

- Any course section which has at least 5 students enrolled is surveyed. This includes all student types (domestic, international, part-time, full-time) and course levels (graduate, undergraduate).
- Cross-listed and cross-taught course sections may be combined for analysis.
- Practicum, lab, placeholder, and thesis courses are excluded.

Limitations:

- Course characteristics, including department and delivery method, are assigned based on course code.

Overview	Overall Results	Question Means	Response Detail, Qs 1-12	Response Detail, Qs 13-23
----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2025-26

Overall Results

Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	92%	4%	4%
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	87%	7%	6%
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	89%	6%	5%
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	84%	8%	8%
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	85%	9%	7%
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	88%	6%	6%
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	92%	5%	3%
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	82%	8%	9%
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	76%	11%	13%
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	76%	12%	11%
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	88%	6%	6%
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	85%	9%	6%
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	88%	6%	6%
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	80%	11%	9%
Q15	I found the course stimulating	75%	12%	13%
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	86%	7%	7%
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	82%	10%	7%
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	79%	11%	9%
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	84%	8%	7%
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	80%	10%	10%
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	76%	12%	12%
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	81%	8%	11%
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	80%	10%	10%

■ Agree

■ Neutral

■ Disagree

Overview	Overall Results	Question Means	Response Detail, Qs 1-12	Response Detail, Qs 13-23
----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2025-26

Question Mean Scores

Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	4.59
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	4.44
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	4.50
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	4.34
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	4.41
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	4.50
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	4.66
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	4.29
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	4.13
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	4.15
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	4.50
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	4.41
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	4.49
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	4.22
Q15	I found the course stimulating	4.09
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	4.40
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	4.31
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	4.23
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	4.34
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	4.24
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	4.14
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	4.28
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	4.24

Means are calculated based on:

5 = Strongly Agree
 4 = Agree
 3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree
 2 = Disagree
 1 = Strongly Disagree

with Null and Not Applicable
 answers excluded.

Overview	Overall Results	Question Means	Response Detail, Qs 1-12	Response Detail, Qs 13-23
----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2025-26

Questions 1-12

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	71.79% (N=14548)	19.98% (N=4049)	4.36% (N=884)	2.02% (N=410)	1.56% (N=317)	0.29% (N=58)
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	64.47% (N=13034)	22.68% (N=4586)	6.87% (N=1388)	3.29% (N=666)	2.44% (N=494)	0.25% (N=50)
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	67.17% (N=13576)	21.52% (N=4349)	6.16% (N=1245)	2.66% (N=537)	2.09% (N=423)	0.40% (N=81)
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	61.31% (N=12378)	22.11% (N=4464)	8.41% (N=1697)	4.27% (N=863)	3.54% (N=714)	0.36% (N=72)
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	65.86% (N=13326)	18.04% (N=3650)	8.45% (N=1710)	3.70% (N=748)	2.98% (N=602)	0.97% (N=197)
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	71.08% (N=14346)	15.97% (N=3224)	5.74% (N=1159)	3.12% (N=630)	3.17% (N=640)	0.91% (N=184)
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	75.76% (N=15333)	13.48% (N=2728)	4.72% (N=956)	1.15% (N=233)	1.56% (N=316)	3.33% (N=674)
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	60.23% (N=12172)	21.44% (N=4333)	8.35% (N=1687)	4.92% (N=995)	4.52% (N=913)	0.54% (N=109)
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	55.00% (N=11127)	21.15% (N=4279)	10.78% (N=2181)	6.17% (N=1249)	6.45% (N=1305)	0.44% (N=90)
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	54.68% (N=11051)	19.96% (N=4034)	12.21% (N=2468)	5.88% (N=1188)	5.39% (N=1090)	1.88% (N=380)
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	70.16% (N=14191)	17.21% (N=3481)	6.03% (N=1219)	2.68% (N=543)	3.04% (N=615)	0.88% (N=177)
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	62.19% (N=12568)	19.28% (N=3897)	9.03% (N=1825)	2.78% (N=561)	2.77% (N=559)	3.96% (N=800)

Overview	Overall Results	Question Means	Response Detail, Qs 1-12	Response Detail, Qs 13-23
----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2025-26

Questions 13-23

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	68.24% (N=13789)	18.44% (N=3726)	6.05% (N=1222)	2.77% (N=560)	2.96% (N=598)	1.55% (N=313)
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	55.80% (N=11271)	23.51% (N=4748)	10.86% (N=2194)	4.98% (N=1005)	4.38% (N=885)	0.47% (N=95)
Q15	I found the course stimulating	52.86% (N=10690)	21.94% (N=4436)	12.05% (N=2437)	6.34% (N=1283)	6.44% (N=1303)	0.37% (N=74)
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	63.78% (N=12895)	21.97% (N=4441)	7.31% (N=1477)	3.46% (N=699)	3.28% (N=663)	0.21% (N=42)
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	58.91% (N=11915)	23.21% (N=4694)	10.25% (N=2073)	3.87% (N=782)	3.39% (N=685)	0.38% (N=76)
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	56.34% (N=11378)	22.18% (N=4480)	11.37% (N=2297)	4.73% (N=956)	4.39% (N=886)	0.99% (N=199)
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	60.65% (N=12259)	22.96% (N=4641)	8.11% (N=1640)	3.71% (N=749)	3.73% (N=754)	0.84% (N=169)
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	57.10% (N=11539)	22.24% (N=4495)	9.51% (N=1922)	5.03% (N=1017)	4.70% (N=950)	1.42% (N=286)
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	54.62% (N=11026)	19.85% (N=4006)	11.42% (N=2306)	5.76% (N=1162)	6.10% (N=1232)	2.25% (N=454)
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	64.73% (N=13094)	16.13% (N=3264)	7.75% (N=1568)	4.42% (N=895)	6.64% (N=1343)	0.33% (N=66)
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	59.56% (N=12038)	19.90% (N=4023)	9.59% (N=1939)	4.51% (N=912)	5.81% (N=1175)	0.62% (N=126)