Question Means -Lakehead Response Detail -Q1-13 - Lakehead Response Detail -Q14-23 - Lakehead



## **OVERVIEW**

### Data Source:

Student Feedback on Teaching surveys collected in the 2020-21 academic year.

#### About:

- Overall Results bar graphs depicting results for each of the 23 questions, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall. Response options are aggregated to a 3-point scale for presentation.
- Question Means displays the mean score for each of the 23 questions based on assigning numerical values to the question response options, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall. Null and "not applicable" responses are excluded from calculations.
- Response Detail crosstabs providing the N values and percentage of all response options. Questions are split into two groups for presentation, with one tab with Faculty specific filters and one for Lakehead overall.

#### Criteria:

- Any course section which has at least 5 students enrolled is surveyed. This includes all student types (domestic, international, part-time, full-time) and course levels (graduate, undergraduate).
- · Cross-listed and cross-taught course sections may be combined for analysis.
- · Practicum, lab, placeholder, and thesis courses are excluded.

#### Limitations:

• Course characteristics, including department and delivery method, are assigned based on course code.

#### Notes:

- Campus filtering is found under the "Course Delivery" filter.
- The "Campus Other" option in the "Course Delivery" filter includes courses delivered at partner institutions, such as Seven Generation institutes or the Joint PhD in Educational Studies partners.

LAKEHEAD UNIVERSITY: OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL PLANNING & ANALYSIS CONFIDENTIAL: NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION LAST UPDATED: JULY 2021

Overview Overall Results - Question Means - Response Detail - Response Detail - Q1-13 - Lakehead Q1-13 - Lakehead Q14-23 - Lakehead

#### **STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2020-21 Overall Results - Lakehead** A comprehensive course outline was provided 91% 5% 4% Q2 Learning objectives were clearly presented 85% 8% 6% 7% 5% The course content matched what was presented on the course outline Q3 88% The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course co... 81% The instructor encouraged student participation 79% 10% The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner 86% 7% 7% Q6 The instructor respected diversity among the students 92% 5% 2% Q7 Q8 The instructor clearly explained the course concepts 78% 10% 12% The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning 71% 16% Q9 13% 71% Q10 The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments t. 14% 15% The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course 84% 8% Q11 83% The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class 7% Q12 86% The instructor appeared well prepared for class 7% 6% Q13 79% 10% I found the course appropriately challenging Q15 I found the course stimulating 73% 13% 13% Q16 I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course 86% The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations Q17 81% 11% 8% The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical ski. 76% Q18 Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course 83% 10% 8% Feedback on graded materials was timely 78% 021 Feedback on graded materials was valuable 73% 14% Overall I would recommend this instructor Q22 79% 12% Overall I would recommend this course 79% 10% 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100% Agree & Strongly Agree Neutral Disagree & Strongly Disagree

Overview

Overall Results -

Lakehead

Question Means -Lakehead

Response Detail -Q1-13 - Lakehead Response Detail -Q14-23 - Lakehead

## **STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2020-21**

## **Question Mean Scores - Lakehead Overall**

Question Text	
A comprehensive course outline was provided	4.56
Learning objectives were clearly presented	4.37
The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	4.46
The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	4.26
The instructor encouraged student participation	4.23
The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	4.43
The instructor respected diversity among the students	4.66
The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	4.17
The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	3.95
The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	3.99
The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	4.37
The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	4.35
The instructor appeared well prepared for class	4.43
I found the course appropriately challenging	4.19
I found the course stimulating	4.03
I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	4.37
The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	4.26
The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	4.12
Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	4.28
Feedback on graded materials was timely	4.14
Feedback on graded materials was valuable	4.03
Overall I would recommend this instructor	4.19
Overall I would recommend this course	4.20
	A comprehensive course outline was provided  Learning objectives were clearly presented  The course content matched what was presented on the course outline  The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts  The instructor encouraged student participation  The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner  The instructor respected diversity among the students  The instructor clearly explained the course concepts  The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning  The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery  The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course  The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class  The instructor appeared well prepared for class  I found the course appropriately challenging  I found the course stimulating  I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course  The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations  The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills  Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course  Feedback on graded materials was timely  Feedback on graded materials was valuable  Overall I would recommend this instructor

Means are calculated based

- 5 = Strongly Agree
- 4 = Agree
- 3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree

with Null and Not Applicable answers excluded.

## **STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2020-21**

# Response Detail - Lakehead Overall Questions 1-13

Question	Question Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q1	A comprehensive course outline was provided	69.60% (N=13753)	21.48% (N=4244)	4.87% (N=962)	2.18% (N=430)	1.58% (N=313)	0.29% (N=57)
Q2	Learning objectives were clearly presented	60.89% (N=12013)	24.09% (N=4752)	8.40% (N=1658)	3.92% (N=773)	2.47% (N=487)	0.23% (N=46)
Q3	The course content matched what was presented on the course outline	64.67% (N=12767)	23.21% (N=4581)	6.88% (N=1359)	2.94% (N=581)	1.94% (N=382)	0.36% (N=71)
Q4	The course materials were useful in promoting learning of the course concepts	57.47% (N=11347)	23.57% (N=4654)	9.72% (N=1920)	5.00% (N=987)	3.87% (N=765)	0.36% (N=72)
Q5	The instructor encouraged student participation	57.73% (N=11419)	19.43% (N=3843)	9.93% (N=1965)	5.01% (N=991)	5.03% (N=994)	2.87% (N=568)
Q6	The instructor responded to all questions in a respectful manner	67.11% (N=13241)	17.31% (N=3415)	6.87% (N=1355)	3.54% (N=699)	3.71% (N=732)	1.46% (N=289)
Q7	The instructor respected diversity among the students	71.76% (N=14167)	14.17% (N=2798)	4.78% (N=943)	0.88% (N=174)	1.40% (N=277)	7.00% (N=1382)
Q8	The instructor clearly explained the course concepts	54.81% (N=10774)	22.69% (N=4461)	10.28% (N=2020)	6.22% (N=1223)	5.21% (N=1024)	0.79% (N=155)
Q9	The instructional method(s) enhanced student learning	48.26% (N=9480)	22.04% (N=4329)	13.05% (N=2563)	7.71% (N=1514)	8.28% (N=1627)	0.66% (N=129)
Q10	The instructor monitored student learning in order to make adjustments to pace of delivery	48.92% (N=9604)	20.05% (N=3937)	13.60% (N=2670)	7.16% (N=1405)	7.31% (N=1435)	2.97% (N=583)
Q11	The instructor appeared enthusiastic about teaching the course	63.93% (N=12561)	18.91% (N=3716)	8.09% (N=1589)	3.45% (N=678)	4.02% (N=790)	1.59% (N=313)
Q12	The instructor was accessible to students outside of the class	59.24% (N=11620)	20.58% (N=4036)	9.24% (N=1812)	3.17% (N=621)	3.43% (N=673)	4.35% (N=853)
Q13	The instructor appeared well prepared for class	63.84% (N=12386)	19.55% (N=3794)	7.00% (N=1358)	3.01% (N=585)	3.08% (N=598)	3.51% (N=682)

Overview Overall Results - Question Means - Response Detail - Lakehead Q1-13 - Lakehead Q1-13 - Lakehead Q14-23 - Lakehead

## **STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING 2020-21**

## Response Detail - Lakehead Overall Questions 14-23

Question	Question Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Q14	I found the course appropriately challenging	53.17% (N=10310)	25.79% (N=5001)	10.91% (N=2115)	5.30% (N=1028)	4.34% (N=841)	0.50% (N=96)
Q15	I found the course stimulating	49.08% (N=9521)	23.99% (N=4654)	13.30% (N=2581)	6.84% (N=1327)	6.32% (N=1226)	0.47% (N=91)
Q16	I have acquired new knowledge as a result of taking the course	61.04% (N=11825)	24.56% (N=4759)	7.56% (N=1465)	3.38% (N=654)	3.25% (N=629)	0.22% (N=42)
Q17	The knowledge from this class can be applied to a variety of situations	55.41% (N=10744)	25.68% (N=4980)	10.79% (N=2093)	4.30% (N=834)	3.33% (N=646)	0.48% (N=93)
Q18	The instructor provided opportunities to further develop my analytical skills	50.53% (N=9777)	24.36% (N=4714)	13.21% (N=2556)	5.51% (N=1066)	4.90% (N=949)	1.49% (N=288)
Q19	Graded materials were aligned with the learning objectives for the course	56.09% (N=10857)	25.37% (N=4911)	9.47% (N=1834)	3.87% (N=750)	3.89% (N=753)	1.30% (N=252)
Q20	Feedback on graded materials was timely	52.34% (N=10132)	23.68% (N=4584)	10.39% (N=2012)	6.00% (N=1161)	5.57% (N=1078)	2.02% (N=392)
Q21	Feedback on graded materials was valuable	49.69% (N=9605)	21.11% (N=4080)	12.15% (N=2348)	6.75% (N=1304)	7.13% (N=1379)	3.17% (N=612)
Q22	Overall I would recommend this instructor	60.27% (N=11652)	18.11% (N=3501)	8.86% (N=1713)	5.12% (N=989)	7.26% (N=1404)	0.39% (N=75)
Q23	Overall I would recommend this course	56.70% (N=10894)	21.65% (N=4159)	10.63% (N=2042)	4.68% (N=900)	5.72% (N=1099)	0.62% (N=119)