GEOG/ENST Watershed Delineation Exercise - McVicar Creek


## An Analysis of the Heavy Rain Event: Thunder Bay, May 28, 2012

Graham Saunders Weather Works

## Outline

Weather conditions of evening of May 27
Summary of heavy rain event - May 28

- Antecedent moisture conditions and overland flow
- 50 -100-year events (IDF curves)
- Rainfall measurement: potential errors
- Historical Storms
- CIMA Report
- Discussion


## Weather conditions late evening on May 27

A low-pressure system and associated warm front moved from western Minnesota to southwest of Thunder Bay.

Environment Canada Forecast at 4 PM SUNDAY 27 MAY 2012
TONIGHT..SHOWERS WITH RISK OF A THUNDERSTORM. AMOUNT 10 TO 15 MM . . .

## Surface analysis: May 27 at 2000 (8 p.m.)



## Surface Analysis: May 27 at 2015 (8:15 p.m.)

Surface Map


## Defining Rain Events

## Light rain: to 2.5 mm per hour

Moderate rain: 2.6 mm to 7.5 mm per hour
Heavy rain: more than 7 mm per hour
$\geq 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ in 24 hours = a heavy rain day

## Some Rain Statistics for

## Thunder Bay-May 28, 2012

Rain began in Thunder Bay on May 28 at midnight

- 50 mm was recorded between
00:15-01:15 a.m. (1-hour record)
- 70 mm in two hours (2-hour record)
- $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ in 24 -hour
- 65 mm : average precipitation for the month of May
- May rain total: $\mathbf{2 0 1 ~ m m ~ ( m o n t h l y ~ r e c o r d ~ f o r ~ M a y ) ~}$


## Radar display of precipitation type

| Colour | Precipitation Intensity | Weather Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue | Light | Rain or snow |
| Cyan | Moderate | Rain or snow |
| Green | Heavy | Light thunderstorms and/or moderate rain showers |
| Yellow | Very Heavy | Moderate thunderstorms |
| Red | Intense | Potential flooding rains and severe thunderstorms |
| Magenta \| Purple | Extreme | Flooding rains with severe thunderstorms |

## Making Sense of Radar Charts

## Rainfall intensity

Radar displays spot estimates of rain amounts per hour Example: Red has a range of 75 to $100 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{hr}$

- Isolated thunderstorms
- Lines of thunderstorms

Typically, these pass over a location moderately quickly In the following we see this feature, also thunderstorms that are stationary or re-form

## Radar: May 27 at 2210 (10:10 p.m.) Thunder Bay and area



## Radar May 27 at 2350 (11:50 p.m.)



## Radar: May 28 at 0030 (00:30 a.m.)




## Environment Canada Warning:

## Severe Thunderstorms

SEVERE THUNDERSTORM WARNING, ISSUED BY ENVIRONMENT CANADA
AT 1:27 AM EDT MONDAY 28 MAY 2012.

SEVERE THUNDERSTORM WARNING FOR:
=NEW = CITY OF THUNDER BAY
=NEW = ATIKOKAN - SHEBANDOWAN - QUETICO PARK = NEW = SUPERIOR WEST.
==DISCUSSION $==$
A LINE OF NEARLY STATIONARY THUNDERSTORMS STRETCHES
ALONG HIGHWAY 11 TO THE WEST TO THUNDER BAY INTO THE CITY OF THUNDER BAY ITSELF.
LOCAL RAINFALL AMOUNTS OVER 50 MM ARE EXPECTED BEFORE THE HEAVIEST RAIN TAPERS OFF IN THE NEXT HOUR OR TWO. HOWEVER, MORE RAIN IS STILL EXPECTED DURING THE NIGHT AND MONDAY. ...

## Radar: May 28 at 0210 (2:10 a.m)



## Radar: May 28 at 0410 (4:10 a.m.)



## Antecedent Moisture Conditions

May 1 to 22: featured average rainfall
May 24: Heavy rain day
(50 to 60 mm measured)
May 25 to 27: 5 to 25 mm

Overland (surface) water flow

## Thunder Bay Airport Intensity Duration Frequency





## Rainfall Measurements

Problems and potential errors especially with heavy rain events equal to or greater than
75 millimetres.

- Rain gauge location
- Wind
- Mechanical errors

Rain totals tend to under report actual amounts.

## Thunder Bay Historical Storms

| Date | 24 Hour <br> Total <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Average <br> Rate <br> $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hour})$ | Antecedent <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sept. 10, 1961 | 98.0 | 4.4 | 12.0 |
| May 24, 1971 | 76.2 | 3.2 | 54.1 |
| Sept. 26, 1973 | 87.1 | 5.8 | 4.9 |
| Sept. 8, 1977 | 131.2 | 5.5 | 3.7 |
| June 28, 1996 | 87.7 | 8.0 | 2.0 |
| July 2, 1997 | 80.4 | 4.0 | 25.6 |
| June 6, 2008 | 78.8 | 6.1 | 8.0 |
| May 28, 2012 | 91.3 | 20.3 | 66.4 |

Average hourly rate: Total divided by storm duration (see page 22)

## Atlantic Avenue WPCP Flooding Assessment

December 3, 2012


CMNA
Partners in excellence

## Source of Flooding



## Source of Flooding

- Flooding started in Preliminary Treatment Building and progressed to all other tunnels around the plant including ultimately pump station dry well
- Suggests excessive plugging and headloss across influent screens
- Direction of door buckling confirms flooding from main level in Headworks down into tunnel



## Estimated Plant Flows

- All flow to plant is through an on-site pumping station
- Design capacity $766 \mathrm{ML} / \mathrm{d}$
- Equipped with 5 pumps (4 duty)
- Plant flow meter is calibrated to a maximum of 668 ML/d
- Applied first principles engineering approach using wet well level and manufacturer pump data to estimate flow from station


## Normal Dry Weather Plant Flow



## Plant Flow During Flooding (May 28,

 2012)

## May $28^{\text {th }}$ Plant Flow

- Estimated flow to the plant exceeded $1000 \mathrm{ML} / \mathrm{d}$ (more than $30 \%$ greater than station firm capacity)
- Average pump station output from 2 am to 3:30 am (before drywell flooding) approximately $800 \mathrm{ML} / \mathrm{d}$
- Greater than station design capacity
- Unable to maintain normal pump station operating level due to incoming flow exceeding station capacity


## Questions and Discussion



